arXiv:1512.06403v3 [math.GT] 29 Sep 2016

HYPERBOLICITY OF CONTRACTIBLE MANIFOLDS

KARIM A. ADIPRASITO AND LOUIS FUNAR

ABSTRACT. Addressing a question going back to Gromov, we show that, for an open, contractible manifold M of dimension at least 6, the following conditions are equivalent:

- M admits a geodesically complete CAT(-1) metric;
- M admits a geodesically complete CAT(0) metric;
- M is pseudo-collarable;
- M can be collapsed.

By work of Guilbault, this implies that the CAT(-1) property of a contractible manifold is purely determined by the pro-groups at infinity. It also yields many new contractible manifolds that admit CAT(-1) metrics, and generalizes the observation that contractible manifolds of the Whitehead type can never admit a complete CAT(0) metric.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Cartan–Hadamard theorem in Riemannian geometry can be accentuated in two parts:

(1) Nonpositive sectional curvature (a local condition) together with simple connectivity implies global nonpositive curvature (i.e. Alexandrov's CAT(0) condition).
(2) The Riemannian manifold in question is in particular diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^d$.

With the increase of interest in Alexandrov's coarse curvature notions (motivated chiefly by the work of Burago, Perelman, Shioya, Gromov and others) it was noticed that while the first part holds quite generally for metric length spaces [5], the second part of the Cartan–Hadamard seemed to break down in the topological and polyhedral categories. When revitalizing the interest in CAT(0) geometry for his work on hyperbolic groups,

Date: September 30, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57N16, 51K10, 57N15.

K. Adiprasito was supported by an EPDI/IPDE postdoctoral fellowship, a Minerva fellowship of the Max Planck Society and NSF Grant DMS 1128155, L. Funar was partially supported by the ANR 2011 BS 01 020 01 ModGroup.

Gromov therefore prominently asked in the eighties for other open manifolds which can be endowed with complete CAT(0) metrics.

Gromov also noticed that this question should be asked for geodesically complete metrics (an assumption we restrict to throughout), as every manifold with boundary can be given a smooth non-complete metric of curvature < 0 (and also a metric of curvature > 0) using the h-principle. In this setting, a CAT(0) manifold is necessarily contractible.

A first answer to this question was provided by Davis and Januszkiewicz [10], who proved the existence of nontrivial CAT(0) manifolds using Gromov's own hyperbolization construction, combined with the Cannon–Edwards criterion (cf. Lemma 10). Soon after, Ancel and Guilbault [3] extended the picture by showing that the interior of any compact contractible manifold of dimension $n \geq 5$ can be given a complete CAT(-1) geodesic metric.

On the other hand, already examples of CAT(0) manifolds constructed by Davis and Januszkiewicz have fundamental groups at infinity not stable, and are therefore not compactifiable, giving us two disjoint sources for CAT(0) manifolds. A complete understanding of CAT(0) manifolds remained elusive.

The goal of this note is to give a complete characterization of CAT(0) manifolds for dimensions ≥ 6 using a topological criterion. The key notion was introduced by Guilbault in [17]:

Definition 1. An open manifold M is *pseudo-collarable* if it admits an exhaustion $M = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} M_j$, where $M_j \subset \mathrm{int}(M_{j+1})$, for $j \geq 1$, by compact manifolds M_j such that the inclusions of boundaries $\partial M_i \to \overline{M \setminus M_i}$ are homotopy equivalences.

We will be dealing in this paper with contractible topological manifolds M of dimension $n \geq 6$. Classical results show that M is triangulable, namely there exists a simplicial complex Δ homeomorphic to M. The CAT(κ) metrics which we consider on M are supposed to be *polyhedral*, namely the restriction to every cell of Δ is piecewise smooth (see [4], I.7, Def. 7.2).

The main theorem, proved in the following Section 2, discusses the role of pseudo-collarability in Gromov's problem.

Theorem 2. *An open contractible n-manifold, $n \geq 6$, admits a CAT(-1) complete length metric if and only if it is pseudo-collarable if and only if it is collapsible.*

In essence, pseudo-collarability guarantees an exhaustion by contractible manifolds as well as a sufficiently "nice" structure at infinity (cf. Lemma 7). A simpler notion is the notion of "geometrically contractible manifolds", which abandons the structure at infinity and describes open contractible manifolds that can be exhausted by compact contractible manifolds. Section 3, which explores different topological notions related to pseudo-collarability, reveals a hierarchy:

$$\text{compactifiable} \subsetneq \text{pseudo-collarable} \subsetneq \text{geometrically contractible} \subsetneq \text{general}.$$

2. Proof of the main Theorem 2

We prove in section I that pseudo-collarability is a necessary condition for the existence of a polyhedral CAT(0) metric. In section III we prove that a pseudo-collarable open manifold admits an arborescent polyhedral decomposition up to homeomorphism, namely a compact exhaustions by polyhedra, each one of which Whitehead collapses onto the former one. The starting point of our construction is to write the manifold as the union of adjacent plus cobordisms, which can be proved by an infinite swindle argument in the spirit of Mazur and Siebenmann in section II. The final step is taken in section IV, where one shows that an arborescent manifold can be naturally endowed with a polyhedral CAT(-1) metric by using an explicit extension of a hyperbolic metric along Whitehead dilatations.

I. **CAT(0) metrics necessitate pseudo-collarability.** We first argue that the condition of pseudo-collarability is necessary.

Proposition 3. *An open topological n-manifold M, with $n \geq 6$, which admits a polyhedral CAT(0) metric is pseudo-collarable.*

Proof. The closed metric ball centered at $p \in M$ of radius r is denoted by $B(p,r)$. Its interior $\mathrm{int}(B(p,r))$ is the open metric ball of radius r. Moreover, since CAT(0) manifolds have the geodesic extension property (see [4], ch. II.5, Prop. 5.12) the metric sphere of radius r coincides with the frontier $\partial B(p,r)$, namely with the set of points $q \in B(p,r)$ such that $\mathrm{int}(B(q,\varepsilon)) \cap M \setminus B(p,r) \neq \emptyset$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Lemma 4. *The metric sphere $\partial B(p,r)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\overline{M \setminus B(p,r)}$.*

Proof. Indeed $\partial B(p,r)$ is a strong deformation retract of $\overline{M \setminus B(p,r)}$ (see [4], ch. II.2, Prop. 2.4.(4)). □

We shall see next that there exists a manifold approximation of $\partial B(p,r)$ sharing the same property. Let us first note that $\partial B(p,r)$ is a polyhedral homology manifold, and homologically equivalent to a sphere as it bounds a contractible manifold.

Next we will extend the result of Ferry (see [13]) to an approximation theorem of resolvable generalized homology manifolds in codimension one. We have first:

Lemma 5. *For generic radii r the polyhedron $\partial B(p,r)$ admits a resolution.*

Proof. Quinn's resolution theorem ([28]) states that there exists a locally defined obstruction invariant in $1+8\mathbb{Z}$ which detects precisely when a generalized homology sphere has a resolution. The polyhedron $\partial B(p,r)$ is convex with respect to the metric on M. Since the metric is piecewise smooth for generic r the polyhedron $\partial B(p,r)$ intersects a cell of Δ along a convex hypersurface. The later contains an open set which is a piecewise linear submanifold. Therefore $\partial B(p,r)$ contains manifold points. In particular Quinn's obstruction is trivial. □

We now want to prove that there exists arbitrarily close approximations of the generalized homology manifold $\partial B(p,r)$ by locally flat topological submanifolds of M. Namely, there exists by Lemma 5 a closed $(n-1)$-manifold S endowed with a surjective cell-like map $g: S \to \partial B(p,r)$.

Lemma 6. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a topologically flat embedding $h_\varepsilon : S \to M$, such that $h_\varepsilon(S)$ is ε-close to $\partial B(p,r)$. Moreover, there exists an ambient homotopy $H : M \times [0,1] \to M$, with the property that $H_1 = \mathrm{id}$, H_0 is a homeomorphism for any $t > 0$, $H_\varepsilon(h_1(S)) = h_\varepsilon(S)$ and $H_0(h_1(S)) = \partial B(p,r)$.*

Proof. Observe that $\partial B(p,r)$ is separating M. Consider the ENR $M' = B(p,r) \cup \partial B(p,r) \times [0,1] \cup M - \mathrm{int}(B(p,r))$, which is a generalized homology manifold. There is a proper cell-like map $q : M' \to M$ which collapses $\partial B(p,r) \times [0,1]$ to $\partial B(p,r)$. Further M' admits a resolution, as it contains manifolds points; namely there exists a proper cell-like map $p : P \to M'$ from a manifold P. Let $f : P \to M$ be the composition $p \circ q$. Then f is a proper cell-like map. By a classical result of Siebenmann (see [27], Approximation Thm. A) f is a limit homeomorphism. This means that there exists a level preserving cell-like map $F : P \times [0,1] \to M \times [0,1]$ such that $F(x,t) = (f_t(x), t)$, where $f_t : P \to M$ for $0 \leq t < 1$ are homeomorphisms ε-close to f and $f_1 = f$.

As $\partial B(p,r)$ is a codimension one compact polyhedron, the combinatorics of the intersections with a triangulation subjacent to the polyhedral complex Δ, and hence its homeomorphism type, will not change in a small neighborhood of the generic r. It follows that $\partial B(p,r) \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ is embedded in M and hence it is a manifold. The product map $g \times \mathrm{id} : S \times (\varepsilon,\varepsilon) \to \partial B(p,r) \times (\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$ is proper and cell-like. Since both polyhedra are topological manifolds, $g \times \mathrm{id}$ is a limit homeomorphism, by the result of Siebenmann cited above. By the same argument map $p|_{p^{-1}(\partial B(p,r)\times(\varepsilon,\varepsilon))}$ is also a limit homeomorphism. Therefore there exists a codimension zero embedding $g_\varepsilon : S \times (\varepsilon,\varepsilon) \to P$.

It follows that $f_{1-\varepsilon} \circ g_\varepsilon(S \times \{0\})$ is a locally flat approximation of $\partial B(p,r)$ (see also ([13], Thm.1). We put $h_\varepsilon = f_{1-\varepsilon} \circ g_\varepsilon$. The ambient homotopy H is constructed from F, by identifying P and M by means of F_0. □

Let V be the closure of the unbounded component of $M \setminus h_1(S)$. It follows that for all $j \geq 1$ we have:

$$\pi_j(V, h_1(S)) \cong \pi_j(H_t(V), H_t(h_1(S))), \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

As $h_1(S)$ has codimension one and H_1 is a hereditary homotopy equivalence we can pass to the limit $t \to 0$ to obtain:

$$\pi_j(V, h_1(P)) \cong \pi_j(\overline{M \setminus B(p,r)}, \partial B(p,r)) = 0.$$

This shows that V is a manifold pseudo-collar, as claimed. □

II. **Exhaustions and plus cobordisms.** For the construction of CAT(0) metrics on a pseudo-collarable manifold, we rely on the iterative definition of collapsible mapping cylinders to construct a Whitehead collapsible triangulation for the pseudo-collarable manifold considered.

The requirements for the construction, extracted from pseudo-collarability are collected in the following lemma:

Lemma 7. *If the open contractible manifold M is pseudo-collarable then there exists an exhaustive filtration M_i, $i \geq 0$ of M with the following properties:*

(1) M_i are compact contractible manifolds;
(2) the inclusion maps $\overline{M \setminus M_j} \hookrightarrow \overline{M \setminus M_i}$ for $j > i$ induce surjections at the level of fundamental groups, and
(3) the inclusions $\partial M_i \hookrightarrow \overline{M \setminus M_i}$ induce isomorphisms at the level of fundamental groups.

Proof. Pseudo-collarable n-manifolds were characterized in [17, 19] for $n \geq 6$. In the course of the proof that such manifolds are pseudo-collarable one proved first the existence of an exhaustion by compact submanifolds M_i satisfying the last two conditions (see [17], Thm. 4). One further makes geometric alterations to kill $\pi_j(\overline{M - M_i}, \partial M_i)$, for $2 \leq j \leq n-3$ ([17], Thm. 5). Handle theory shows that $\overline{M_{i+1} - M_i}$ have handlebody decompositions using only $(n-2)-$ and $(n-3)$-handles. Thus, if the pair $(\overline{M - M_i}, \partial M_i)$ is $(n-2)$-connected then it is ∞-connected. The proof that we can do further alterations to kill $\pi_{n-2}(\overline{M - M_i}, \partial M_i)$ is given in [17] (under some restrictions) and [19], in general. These alterations won't affect the last two conditions above. In the end we also obtain the fact that the inclusion $\partial M_i \to \overline{M \setminus M_i}$ is a homotopy equivalence. This, in turn, implies that M_i are contractible, as needed. □

Recall now from [17] that any pseudo-collar W can be written as the union of 1-sided h-cobordisms W_i with disjoint interiors. This means that W_i is a cobordism with left boundary J_i and right boundary J_{i+1}, so that $J_1 = \partial W$, with the property that $J_i \subset W_i$ is a homotopy equivalence. The 1-sided h-cobordism W_i is said to be a *plus cobordism* (see [24, 25]) if the inclusion $J_i \subset W_i$ is a simple homotopy equivalence, namely the torsion $\tau(W_i, J_i)$ vanishes in the Whitehead group $Wh(\pi_1(J_i))$. One key property needed in the construction below is the following:

Lemma 8. *Any pseudo-collar manifold is the union of plus cobordisms with disjoint interiors.*

Proof. The proof is kind of infinite swindle argument well-known in the case of h-cobordisms (see e.g. [26]). Let $W = W_1 \cup W_2 \cup \cdots$ be a pseudo-collar written as union of 1-sided h-cobordisms W_i. Let W_1 has left boundary J_1 and right boundary J_2. Assume that W_1 is not a plus cobordism i.e. $\tau(W_1, J_1)$ is not zero in $Wh(\pi_1(J_1))$. Let Y_1 be a h-cobordism between J_2 and some J_2' with the property that $\tau(Y_1, J_2) = y \in Wh(\pi_1(J_2))$, where $j_*(y) = -\tau(W_1, J_1)$ in $Wh(\pi_1(J_1))$. Here $j_* : Wh(\pi_1(J_2)) \to Wh(\pi_1(J_1))$ is the map induced by the surjective homomorphism at the level of fundamental groups $\pi_1(J_2) \to \pi_1(J_1)$. This is possible because the homomorphism $Wh(\pi_1(J_2)) \to Wh(\pi_1(J_1))$ is also surjective, as any class in $Wh(\pi_1(J_1))$ is represented by a matrix with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_1(J_1)]$.

Further Y_1 is an h-cobordism and hence invertible. This means that there is some other h-cobordism Y_1^* with the property that the composition $Y_1 \circ Y_1^*$ is the trivial h-cobordism $J_2 \times [0,1]$. Thus Y_1 embeds into a collar neighborhood $J_2 \times [0,1]$ of J_2 inside

W_2. This also follows, by general position arguments, since Y_1 can be realised by using only 2 and 3-handles attached to $J_2 \times [0,1]$. Now, the sum formula for torsion (see [8], p.76) gives us:

$$\tau(W_1 \cup Y_1, J_1) = \tau(W_1, J_1) + j_*(\tau(Y, J_2)) = 0$$

and hence $Z_1 = W_1 \cup Y_1 \subset W_1 \cup W_2$ is a plus cobordism containing W_1.

Since Y_1 is a h-cobordism we derive that $W_2 - \mathrm{int}(Y_1)$ is also a 1-sided h-cobordism. We can therefore write $W = Z_1 \cup (W_2 - \mathrm{int}(Y_1)) \cup W_3 \cdots$, namely as the union of the plus cobordism Z_1 with a smaller pseudo-collar. Then the arguments before can be iterated. □

III. **The (relative) Newman construction.** Now, to construct the desired metric on M, we first prove Whitehead collapsibility:

Proposition 9. *Let M be an open pseudo-collarable topological manifold of dimension $n \geq 6$. There exists a decomposition of M into polyhedra which enjoys a sequence of Whitehead collapses*

$$M \searrow \cdots \searrow M_i \searrow \cdots \searrow M_2 \searrow M_1 \searrow M_0 \searrow M_{-1} := \textit{disk}.$$

The remaining of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 9. Before we start, it is instructive to recall the Cannon–Edwards criterion:

Lemma 10 (Cannon–Edwards, cf. [28])**.** *A polyhedral homology manifold is homeomorphic to a manifold if and only if the link of every vertex is simply connected.*

Towards the proof of Proposition 9, we may assume that the filtration is a filtration of M by plus-cobordisms, i.e., we may start with an ascending filtration by compact contractible submanifolds $M_i \subset M$ such that $M_{i+1} - \mathrm{int}(M_i)$ is a plus cobordism for every $i \geq 0$. To construct the desired decomposition, we proceed iteratively, first recalling the classical Newman construction as in [3].

Induction start (The absolute Newman construction). Let $\mathscr{C}(P)$ denote the standard 2-complex associated to a finite group presentation P.

Let P_0 denote a balanced presentation of a perfect group endowed with a surjective homomorphism $\varphi_0 : \pi_1(\mathscr{C}(P_0)) \to \pi_1(\partial M_0)$.

Set $B_0' = \mathrm{N}_{\partial M_0}\mathscr{C}(P_0) \cup \partial \mathrm{N}_{\partial M_0}\mathscr{C}(P_0) \times [0,1]$, where $\mathrm{N}_{\partial M_0}\mathscr{C}(P_0)$ is the regular neighborhood of $\mathscr{C}(P_0)$ in the natural embedding into ∂M_0 along the map φ_0 of fundamental groups. Further set B_0'' to be the complement of $\mathrm{N}_{\partial M_0}\varphi_0(\mathscr{C}(P_0))$ in ∂M_0.

Let Γ_0 denote the mapping cylinder of the natural map $B_0' \to [0,1]$, with D_0 being the fiber over $\{1\} \subset [0,1]$. Finally, R_0 is obtained as the mapping cylinder of the constant map $B_0'' \to \{1\}$.

We claim that M_0 is homeomorphic to the union $\Gamma_0 \cup \mathrm{R}_0$ along the contractible disk D_0. Indeed $\Gamma_0 \cup \mathrm{R}_0$ is a manifold by the Cannon–Edwards criterion and collapses to a point. Since contractible manifolds are uniquely determined by their boundary the claim follows. Observe that M_0 collapses onto the image of its spine arc by this homeomorphism (see [2]).

To sum up, the philosophy of the Newman construction is to be greedy, and correct mistakes later by distributing the PL singular set over a trivial spine. Together with the modification by Daverman–Tinsley [9], the Newman construction works for compact contractible manifolds from dimension 5 on. We attempt to repeat this for the relative Newman construction.

Induction step (The relative Newman construction). To define a relative Newman construction, recall that the plus cobordism induces a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow K_{i+1} \longrightarrow \pi_1(\partial M_{i+1}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\partial M_i) \longrightarrow 1$$

where K_{i+1} is a perfect group. Consider a balanced presentation P_{i+1} of a perfect group surjecting onto K_{i+1}. Embed the presentation complex $\mathscr{C}(P_{i+1})$ into ∂M_{i+1}, and consider its collar N_{i+1}, which is by definition a homology ball. It follows that we may find a plus cobordism of homology manifolds $(W_{i+1}, \partial M_{i+1}, H_i')$ where $H_i' := (M_{i+1} \setminus \mathrm{N}_{i+1}) \cup_{\partial \mathrm{N}_{i+1}} \mathrm{Cone}(\partial \mathrm{N}_{i+1})$ so that W_{i+1} collapses onto H_i'. Finally, by uniqueness of the plus cobordism construction [15, Theorem 11.1A], this cobordism admits a resolution to $(M_{i+1} \setminus M_i, \partial M_{i+1}, \partial M_i)$, so that the contractible manifold bounded by H_i' is homeomorphic to M_i. Repeating this construction finishes the proof. □

A corollary of the proof is the following:

Corollary 11. *If M_n admits a sequence of contractible d-manifolds*

$$M_n \searrow \cdots \searrow M_2 \searrow M_1 \searrow M_0 \searrow M_{-1} := \textit{disk}$$

connected by plus cobordisms, then M_n is obtained by gluing $n+2$ copies of the d-disk, glued one after the other along suitable contractible manifolds in their boundaries.

Remark 12. Note that our construction is qualitatively different from the construction of Davis-Januszkiewicz, who only used disjoint unions of compact PL singular sets for

their construction of $CAT(0)$ manifolds. In this way, they were only able to recover plus-cobordisms for which the sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow K_{i+1} \longrightarrow \pi_1(\partial M_{i+1}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(\partial M_i) \longrightarrow 1$$

splits, which is not true in general for pseudo-collarable manifolds.

IV. **CAT(0) and CAT(-1) metrics from collapses.** Following [1], it is easy to construct CAT(0) metrics on collapsible complexes using Gromov's hyperbolization technique. For the goal of CAT(-1) metrics, these techniques cannot work: the Dehn–Sommerville relations imply that there is no CAT(-1) regular cube complex structure on manifolds of dimension ≥ 6, so that we finally are required to prove a refined version of aforementioned results. To this end, we use metrics along Whitehead's collapsibility (cf. [22]) as a more direct and suitable (but much less elegant) alternative to Gromov's hyperbolization technique. We recall two critical criteria:

Lemma 13 (cf. [11])**.** *Consider a locally CAT(K) and locally compact metric length space X.*

(a) Cartan–Hadamard theorem. *If $K \leq 0$ and X is simply connected, then X is CAT(K).*

(b) Bowditch criterion. *If $K > 0$, and every closed curve of length $\leq 2\pi/K$ can be monotonously contracted to a point, then X is CAT(K).*

Recall that the *star* and *link* of a face σ in a simplicial complex Σ are the subcomplexes

$$\mathrm{st}_\sigma \Sigma := \cup_{\sigma \subseteq \tau \in \Sigma} 2^\tau \text{ and } \mathrm{lk}_\sigma \Sigma := \{\tau \setminus \sigma; \sigma \subset \tau \in \Sigma\}.$$

If Σ is a decomposition of a facewise smooth length space, then $\mathrm{lk}_\sigma \Sigma$ carries a natural facewise spherical length metric.

Lemma 14 (Gromov–Alexandrov lemma; cf. [4])**.** *If Σ is a locally finite facewise constant curvature K length space. If the link of every face in σ in Σ has a CAT(1) link, then Σ is locally CAT(K).*

Proposition 15. *Let C be any collapsible simplicial complex. Then there exists a CAT(-1) polyhedral complex C' that is PL homeomorphic to C.*

Moreover, if $C_n \searrow C_{n-1} \searrow \cdots \searrow C_0 \cong \{point\}$, then C_i can be assumed to be a convex subset of C_{i+1}.

Proof. The proof is by a simple induction, constructing the desired facewise hyperbolic $\mathrm{CAT}(-1)$-metric along reverse collapses: Consider $\Sigma \searrow_e \Sigma'$ an elementary collapse, and

let Δ denote the k-cell removed. And let Γ denote the $(k-1)$-dimensional PL disk $\Delta \cap \Sigma'$. Consider $\mathscr{O}(\Gamma)$ the collection of chains of nontrivial interior faces in Γ. Combinatorially, we may associate to a chain $\mathcal{F} = (F_1 < \cdots < F_i)$ in $\mathscr{O}(\Gamma)$ a polyhedron

$$P_{\mathcal{F}} \ = \ F_1 \times [0,1]^i.$$

These polyhedra are naturally glued to each other along the natural inclusion of chains in $\mathscr{O}(\Gamma)$, resulting in a PL k-ball $\widetilde{\Delta}$ that is naturally glued to Σ' along the faces $\min \mathcal{F} \times \{0\}^i$, $\mathcal{F}$ a chain in $\mathscr{O}(\Gamma)$.

To endow this structure with a metric, we proceed again by induction; assuming the $\mathrm{CAT}(-1)$ on Σ'. We choose a facewise hyperbolic structure on Δ such that, for polyhedra $P_{\mathcal{F}}$, the dihedral angles at $\min \mathcal{F} \times \{0\}^i$ are $\frac{\pi}{2}$. It follows using Bowditch criterion and Gromov's Lemma, that the resulting metric on Σ is $\mathrm{CAT}(-1)$.



Figure 1. A depiction of the combinatorial collapse, and the piecewise hyperbolic metric realizing it geometrically.

□

3. VARIATIONS

I. **More tameness conditions.** Pseudo-collarability of one-ended open manifolds was proved in [19] to be equivalent to the following conditions:

(1) inward tameness, i.e. there exist arbitrarily small neighborhoods of infinity dominated by finite complexes;
(2) the pro-group at infinity is perfectly semistable;
(3) Wall's obstruction vanishes, namely the complement of every compact submanifold has finite homotopy type.

We are seeking for a more manageable characterisation in the case of contractible manifolds.

Definition 16. An open manifold M is *weakly geometrically k-connected* (see [14]) if $M = \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_j$, where $K_j \subset \text{int}(K_{j+1})$, for $j \geq 1$, is an exhaustion by compact k-connected PL manifolds. When $k = \infty$ we use the term weak geometric contractibility.

It is obvious that CAT(0) polyhedra are weakly geometrically contractible. It suffices to consider any exhaustion by metric balls, which are convex. To guarantee the filtration is a filtration by manifolds, one merely has to to pass to the regular neighborhoods of these geometric balls to obtain the desired filtration.

Definition 17. An end is of type F_k (respectively F) if it admits arbitrarily small clean neighborhoods with the homotopy type of a CW complex having finite k-skeleton (respectively finitely many cells).

This generalizes the Tucker condition explored in [23] which requires that the complement of any compact subpolyhedron has finitely generated fundamental group, i.e. is of type F_1.

II. **Weak geometric contractibility is not sufficient.** The aim of this section is to construct examples of open weakly geometrically contractible manifolds which are neither semistable nor with end of type F_1.

Definition 18. An open manifold W has *injective* ends if it admits an ascending compact exhaustion by submanifolds K_j with the property that the maps induced by inclusions $\pi_1(\partial_* K_j) \to \pi_1(K_{j+1} - \text{int}(K_j))$ and $\pi_1(\partial_* K_{j+1}) \to \pi_1(K_{j+1} - \text{int}(K_j))$ are injective. Here $\partial_* K$ denotes an arbitrary connected component of ∂K. The ends of W are *strictly injective* if none of the maps above are surjective.

It is well-known (see e.g. [16], [18], ex.4.17) that:

Lemma 19. *An open manifold with strictly injective ends is not semistable.*

Our goal now is to construct geometrically contractible manifolds with strictly injective ends. To this purpose we introduce more terminology.

We say that the nontrivial pair $H \subset G$ of finitely groups is *tight* if the normal closure of H within G is H itself, i.e. there is no proper normal subgroup of G containing H. The pair is nontrivial if $H \subset G$ is proper. The group G is *superperfect* if $H_1(G) = H_2(G) = 0$. The group H is said *weakly acyclic* if $H = \pi_1(K)$, where K is a finite complex whose integral homology is that of a point.

Lemma 20. *Given a nontrivial tight pair $H \subset G$ of superperfect finitely presented groups, with weakly acyclic H there exists an open geometrically contractible manifold W with a contractible compact exhaustion K_j such that the maps $\pi_1(\partial_* K_j) \to \pi_1(K_{j+1} - \mathrm{int}(K_j))$ and $\pi_1(\partial_* K_{j+1}) \to \pi_1(K_{j+1} - \mathrm{int}(K_j))$ are given by the proper inclusions $H \subset G$.*

Proof. A classical result of Kervaire ([21]) states that G is the fundamental group of a homology sphere Σ^n of dimension $n \geq 5$ if (and only) if G is finitely presented and superperfect. Let $H = \pi_1(K)$ be fundamental group of an acyclic k-complex, $k \geq 2$. Choose $n \geq 2k+1$, in order to be able to embed $K \to \Sigma^n$ such that the map induced by inclusion $\pi_1(K) \to \pi_1(\Sigma^n)$ corresponds to the inclusion $H \hookrightarrow G$. Consider two such embeddings K_1 and K_2, which by transversality could be assumed to be disjoint. Let N_1 and N_2 denote disjoint regular neighborhoods of K_1 and K_2 within Σ^n.

Using general position we derive that $\pi_1(\Sigma - \mathrm{int}(N_1 \sqcup N_2)) \cong \pi_1(\Sigma) = G$ and $\pi_1(\partial N_i) \cong \pi_1(N_i - K_i) \cong \pi_1(N_i) = H$. Moreover, the map $\pi_1(\partial N_i) \to \pi_1(\Sigma)$ induced by the inclusion is identified with the embedding $H \hookrightarrow G$. If H is weakly acyclic then $X = \Sigma - \mathrm{int}(N_1 \sqcup N_2)$ has the homology of a spherical cylinder.

Now, since ∂N_1 is a homology sphere of dimension at least 4, it bounds a compact contractible manifold M. Then, the result of gluing $M \cup X$ is acyclic and simply connected and hence contractible. By recurrence we find that $K_j = M \cup X \cup X \cdots \cup X$, where X occurs j-times, is also contractible. Therefore the open manifold $W = M \cup X \cup X \cdots$ is geometrically contractible and the exhaustion K_j satisfies all the requirements. □

Lemma 21. *Any finite weakly acyclic group H is contained in a superperfect group G to form a nontrivial tight pair. In particular, this is the case for the binary icosahedral group $\langle a, b | a^5 = b^3 = (ab)^2 \rangle \cong SL_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, $SL(2, \mathbb{F}_p)$, for odd prime p, or more generally any finite perfect balanced group.*

Proof. Any finite group is contained into some S_n which is contained into $Sp(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$. The finite symplectic group $Sp(2n, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is simple (hence perfect) for $n \geq 4$ and has trivial Schur multiplier so that it is superperfect. The finite symplectic groups $PSp(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ are simple for $n \geq 4$ and have Schur multiplier $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, when q is odd, so that $Sp(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ is the universal central extension of $PSp(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$. Therefore it is superperfect. Any proper normal subgroup of $Sp(2n, \mathbb{F}_q)$ should be contained in the center, so that the pair obtained is tight and nontrivial.

Note that $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, for odd prime p are perfect and admit balanced presentations (see [6]). Thus their presentation 2-complexes are acyclic since their Schur multiplier is trivial, by an old theorem of Schur.

Alternatively any finite group is contained in the Thompson group V, which is finitely presented, simple and superperfect ([20]). □

Remark 22. More examples of weakly acyclic groups are 1-relator torsion-free groups (Lyndon's theorem) and perfect finitely presented groups of deficiency zero, in particular Higman's groups, whose presentation complexes are acyclic. Other finite examples are $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_8)$, $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_{32})$, $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_{64})$, $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_{27})$, $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_5) \times SL_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$, $\widehat{A_7}$, etc (see [7]).

REFERENCES

[1] K. Adiprasito, B. Benedetti, *Metric geometry, convexity and collapsibility*, arxiv 1107. 5789v4. 9

[2] F. Ancel and C. R. Guilbault, *Compact contractible n-manifolds have arc spines ($n \geq 5$)*, Pacific J. Math. 168 (1995), 1–10. 8

[3] F. Ancel and C. R. Guilbault, *Interiors of compact contractible n-manifolds are hyperbolic ($n \geq 5$)*, J. Differential Geom. 45(1997), 1-32. 2, 7

[4] M. Bridson and A. Haefliger, Metric spaces of non-positive curvature, Grund. math. Wissenschaften, 319, Springer, 1999. 2, 3, 9

[5] D. Burago, Y. Burago and S. Ivanov, A course in metric geometry, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 33. A. M. S. , Providence, RI, 2001. 1

[6] C. M. Campbell and E. F. Robertson, *A deficiency zero presentation for* $\mathrm{SL}(2,\ p)$, Bull. London Math. Soc. 12 (1980), 17–20. 13

[7] C. M. Campbell,E. F. Robertson, T. Kawamata, I. Miyamoto and P. D. Williams, *Deficiency zero presentations for certain perfect groups*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 103 (1986), 63–71. 13

[8] M. M. Cohen, A course in simple homotopy theory, Springer Verlag, 1973/ 7

[9] R. J. Daverman, F.C. Tinsley, *Acyclic maps whose mapping cylinders embed in 5-manifolds*, Houston J. Math. 16(1990), 255–270. 8

[10] M. W. Davis, and T. Januszkiewicz, *Hyperbolization of polyhedra*, J. Differential Geom. 34(1991), 347-388. 2

[11] M. W. Davis and G. Moussong, *Notes on nonpositively curved polyhedra*, Low dimensional topology (Eger, 1996/Budapest, 1998) 8 (1999): 11-94. 9

[12] S. Ferry, *Homotoping ε-maps to homeomorphisms*, Amer. J. Math. 101 (1979), 567–582.

[13] S. Ferry, *On the Ancel-Cannon theorem*, Topology Proceedings 17 (1992), 41–58. 4, 5

[14] L. Funar and S. Gadgil, *On the geometric simple connectivity of open manifolds*, I. M. R. N. , no. 24, 2004, 1193-1248. 11

[15] M. H. Freedman and F. Quinn, Topology of 4-manifolds, Princeton University Press, 1990. 8

[16] R. Geoghegan, Topological methods in group theory, Graduate Text Math. , 243, Springer 2008. 11

[17] C. R. Guilbault, *Manifolds with non-stable fundamental groups at infinity*, Geom. Topol. 4 (2000), 537–579. 2, 6

[18] C. R. Guilbault, *Ends, shapes and boundaries in manifold topology and geometric group theory*, arxiv 1210. 6741. 11

[19] C. R. Guilbault and F. C. Tinsley, *Manifolds with non-stable fundamental groups at infinity III*, Geom. Topol. 4 (2006), 541–556. 6, 10

[20] C. Kapoudjian, *Virasoro-type extensions for the Higman-Thompson and Neretin groups*, Q. J. Math. 53 (2002), no. 3, 295–317. 13

[21] M. A. Kervaire, *Smooth homology spheres and their fundamental groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (1969), 67–72. 12

[22] D. Kozlov, *Combinatorial algebraic topology*, Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics **21**, Springer-Verlag Berlin, 2008. 9

[23] M. L. Mihalik and S. T. Tschantz, *Tame combings of groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), no.10, 4251–4264. 11

[24] D. Quillen *Cohomology of groups.* Actes Congr. internat. Math. 1970, 2 (1971), 47–51. 6

[25] J. Rolland. *Some Results on Pseudo-Collar Structures on High-Dimensional Manifolds*, arXiv:1502. 04338. 6

[26] L. C. Siebenmann, The obstruction to finding a boundary for an open manifold of dimension greater than five, PhD thesis, Princeton Univ. , 1965, 152p. 6

[27] L.C.Siebenmann, *Approximating cellular maps by homeomorphisms*, Topology 11 (1972), 271–294. 4

[28] S. Weinberger, *Homology manifolds*, Handbook of geometric topology, 1085–1102, North-Holland, Amsterdam (2002) 4, 7

EINSTEIN INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS, HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM, JERUSALEM, 91904 ISRAEL

E-mail address: adiprasito@math.huji.ac.il

INSTITUT FOURIER BP 74, UMR 5582, UNIVERSITÉ GRENOBLE I, 38402 SAINT-MARTIN-D'HÈRES CEDEX, FRANCE

E-mail address: louis.funar@ujf-grenoble.fr, louis.funar@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr